1 2 3 4 5 6	G. SCOTT EMBLIDGE, State Bar No. 121 emblidge@meqlaw.com RACHEL J. SATER, State Bar No. 147976 sater@meqlaw.com ANDREW E. SWEET, State Bar No. 1608' sweet@meqlaw.com MOSCONE, EMBLIDGE, & QUADRA, L 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, California 94104-4238 Telephone: (415) 362-3599 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006	70	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	COYNESS L. ENNIX JR., M.D.,		
12		Case No.: C 07-2486 WHA	
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF	
14	VS.	DEFENDANT ALTA BATES	
15	ALTA BATES SUMMIT MEDICAL CENTER,	SUMMIT MEDICAL CENTER'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S	
16 17	Defendant.	OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
18		Date: April 25, 2008	
19		Time: 8:00 a.m. Dept.: Ctrm. 9, 19 th Floor	
20		Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup	
21		Complaint Filed: May 9, 2007	
22		Trial Date: June 2, 2008	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Ш

Plaintiff objects to the following evidence that Defendant Alta Bates Summit Medical Center ("Alta Bates" or "Defendant") has presented in support of its Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(e), "[s]upporting and opposing affidavits shall be made on personal knowledge, shall set forth such facts as would be admissible in evidence, and shall show affirmatively that the affiant is competent to testify to the matters stated therein." Defendant has filed several declarations, including the exhibits attached thereto, that fall short of the standards of admissibility. Moreover, this "evidence" was submitted with Defendant's reply papers and therefore is disfavored by this Court.

Specifically, Plaintiff hereby objects to the declaration of Alex Hernaez as follows:

I. OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF ALEX HERNAEZ

DECLARATION CITES	OBJECTIONS
Exhibit 1	If submitted for the truth of the matters asserted in this document, the document is inadmissible hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 802; Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 56(e); lack of foundation for the factual assertions contained in the document; inadmissible opinion testimony; improper legal conclusions.
Exhibit 7	If submitted for the truth of the matters asserted in this document, the document is inadmissible hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 802; Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 56(e); lack of foundation for the factual assertions contained in the document.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Exhibit 8

If submitted for the truth of the matters asserted in this document, the document is inadmissible hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 802; Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 56(e); lack of foundation for the factual assertions contained in the document; misleading citation of evidence since this document suggests that Dr. Isenberg's request to the NMA to add substantive comments was rejected by the MEC lawyer when in fact Dr. Isenberg's substantive comments were added to the NMA report.

II. OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

The majority of Defendant's document entitled Objections to Evidence is merely argument about disputed facts or the legal import of those facts. This is a transparent attempt to avoid the page limit for reply briefs by inserting legal arguments in a separate document. See Local Rule 7-4(b). For these reasons, Plaintiff asks the Court to strike the following portions of Defendant's "Objections":

```
Page 1, line 19 through page 4, line 22
```

Page 5, footnote 9

Page 5, line 18 through page 6, line 1

Page 6, lines 10 through 12

Page 6, line 15 through page 7, line 10 and footnotes 11 and 12

Page 8, lines 1 through 4

Page 8, line 18, through page 10, line 24

Page 10, line 25, through page 11, line 8

Page 11, lines 9 through 19

Page 11, line 25, through page 13, line 5 and footnote 16

Page 13, line 12 through page 14, line 6

Page 14, lines 10 through 19

1	Plaintiff will respectfully request the Court at the hearing on the Motion for Summary	
2	2 Judgment to sustain the above objection	as and to strike the evidence and improper argument
3	referred to above.	
4	4	
5	5 Dated: April 21, 2008	Respectfully Submitted,
6	6	MOSCONE, EMBLIDGE & QUADRA, LLP
7	7	
8	8	By: /s/ Andrew E. Sweet
9	9	
10	0	Attorneys for Coyness L. Ennix Jr., M.D.
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	6	
27	7	
28	8	